

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,871	SCHWINKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wesley L. Kim	2688	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Wesley L. Kim.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Frank Nicholas.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 February 2006
**Time:** n/a
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 9, and 17


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner suggested that the applicant add the limitations that were amended in claims 1 and 9 also into claim 17 to help put the case into a better condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)